arXiv:cond-mat/9901124v1 13 Jan 1999

Ripplonic Polaron in the Quasi-One-Dimensional Electron System over Liquid Helium

Sviatoslav S. Sokolov[1,2] and Nelson Studart[1]
[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Carlos,13565-905, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil*
[2] *B. I. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 310164, Kharkov, Ukraine*

(February 1, 2008)

We study the energetics and transport properties of polarons in the quasi-one dimensional electron system over the liquid helium surface. The localization lengths and the energy of the ground and excited states are calculated within the hydrodynamic model of the polaron. The polaron bound energy is found and the temperature for the polaron formation is estimated below 0.1 K. We examine the possibility of observation of the polarons by measuring both the spectroscopic transitions and the mobility.

An electron together with its self-induced polarization in a medium forms a quasiparticle which has been named polaron. Besides its importance as a standard theoretical model of a fermionic particle coupled to a boson scalar field, the polaron has been observed in some physical systems. In particular, the search of polaronic states, predicted theoretically long time ago, [1,2] for surface electrons levitated over liquid helium, has been the subject of large amount of experimental work during the last decades. [3,4]

Theoretical approaches to investigate the properties of the surface polaron over helium are based on the description of the dimple state (electron plus the deformation of the helium surface due to the pressing field) within a self-consistent hydrodynamic framework or in terms of the concept of a Fröhlich polaron (single electron coupled to ripplons). Despite the great difference between the methods, both final results for the structure of the ripplonic polaron show fair qualitative and quantitative agreement. [5]

Quite recently, quasi-one-dimensional (Q1D) electron systems on the surface of liquid helium were realized. [6,7] In such a Q1D system, the electron motion is restricted, in addition to the quantum well in the direction normal to the liquid surface, by a lateral confinement, which is provided by either geometric or electrostatic means, and can be modelled by a parabolic potential. In such conditions, the formation of an *asymmetric* ripplonic polaron may become favorable.

In this work, we address the possibility of polaron formation in the Q1D electron system within the hydrodynamic approach [5,8,9] which allows us to calculate analytically the main properties of the polaron state. Furthermore, this model was employed by Tress et *al.* to interpret the magnetoconductivity data which led them to report the observation of polaronic effect in two-dimensional (2D) electron system over helium films. [4] In the Q1D model, described in Refs. [10,11], the surface electrons are confined by a lateral potential well $U_0 = m\omega_0^2 y/2$ in the y direction with characteristic frequency $\omega_0 = \sqrt{eE_\perp/mR}$, where e and m are the electron charge and mass, respectively, $E_\perp$ is the holding electric field along the z axis, and R is the curvature radius of the liquid in the channel.

The wave function $\psi(x,y)$ for the electron trapped to the dimple satisfies the 2D Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\nabla^2\psi\right) + \frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 y^2\psi + eE_\perp\xi(x,y)\psi = \varepsilon\psi, \qquad (1)$$

where the deformation profile of the surface $\xi(x,y)$ depends, in turn, on the electron pressure on the surface $eE_\perp|\psi(x,y)|^2$. The total energy of the polaron, expressed as a sum of the electron energy and the energy due to the surface deformation, is

$$W = \varepsilon + \frac{\sigma}{2}\int\int\left[(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\xi)^2 + k_c^2\xi^2\right]dxdy, \qquad (2)$$

where $k_c = \sqrt{\rho g/\sigma}$ is the capillary constant and σ and ρ are the surface tension coefficient and the density of liquid helium respectively. The electron energy can be written as

$$\varepsilon = \int\int\{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left[(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi)^2\right] + \frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 y^2\psi^2 + eE_\perp\xi(x,y)\psi^2\}dxdy, \qquad (3)$$

where we supposed that the characteristic length, which gives the decrease of the wave function along the y direction, is significantly smaller than the curvature radius R. The minimization of the total energy W with respect to ξ leads to the mechanical equilibrium equation

$$\sigma(\nabla^2\xi - k_c^2\xi) = eE_\perp\psi^2. \qquad (4)$$

Equations (1) and (4) form a nonlinear system of equations which must be solved self-consistently, as was done numerically in Ref. [9] for the 2D symmetric polaron. Since these calculations are cumbersome in the asymmetric situation, we prefer to use a variational method and take trial functions like the 2D harmonic-oscillator eigenfunctions with localization lengths l_x and l_x as the variational parameters. [8] The result for the polaron ground-state energy reads as

$$W_0 \simeq -\frac{(eE_\perp)^2}{4\pi\sigma}\ln\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}k_c(l_x+l_y)} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\left(\frac{1}{l_x^2}+\frac{1}{l_y^2}\right)+\frac{m}{4}\omega_0^2 l_y^2, \tag{5}$$

where $L_F^2 = (2\pi\sigma\hbar^2)/m(eE_\perp)^2$ and γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The variational parameters are obtained from the condition that the polaron energy is a minimum at these values, which leads to the following system of equations:

$$\frac{1}{l_x^3}-\frac{1}{L_F^2(l_x+l_y)}=0, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{l_y^4}-\frac{1}{L_F^2 l_y(l_x+l_y)}-\frac{1}{L_0^4}=0, \tag{7}$$

where $L_0^2=\hbar/m\omega_0$. If $L_F \ll L_0$, the solutions of Eqs. (6) and (7) are $l_x = l_y \simeq \sqrt{2}L_F$. For $L_F \gg L_0$, we obtain $l_x \simeq L_F$ and $l_y \simeq L_0$. Note that for realistic values of holding fields $L_F \sim 10^{-1}$ μm and $L_0 \sim 10^{-2}$ μm and the values of the localization lengths are significantly smaller than the curvature radius $R = 1-10$ μm. For the lowest excited states, we find

$$W_{10} \simeq -\frac{(eE_\perp)^2}{4\pi\sigma}\left[\ln\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}k_c(d_x+d_y)}-\frac{d_x(2d_x+3d_y)}{4(d_x+d_y)^2}\right] + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\left(\frac{3}{d_x^2}+\frac{1}{d_y^2}\right)+\frac{m}{4}\omega_0^2 d_y^2. \tag{8}$$

The minimization of the energy with respect to the parameters d_x and d_y leads to

$$\frac{3}{d_x^3}-\frac{1}{L_F^2(d_x+d_y)}\left[1+\frac{d_y(d_x+3d_y)}{4(d_x+d_y)^2}\right]=0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d_y^4}-\frac{1}{L_F^2 d_y(d_x+d_y)}\left[1-\frac{d_x(d_x+3d_y)}{4(d_x+d_y)^2}\right]-\frac{1}{L_0^4}=0. \tag{10}$$

In the limit of $L_F \ll L_0$, we can disregard the term L_0^{-4} in Eq. (10) and the roots of the system are $d_x \simeq 2.12L_F$ and $d_y \simeq 1.73L_F$. In the opposite limit, $L_0 \ll L_F$, we obtain $d_x \simeq \sqrt{3}L_F$ and $d_y \simeq L_0$.

We now investigate the transport properties of the Q1D polaron. When a driving electric field $E_\parallel$ is applied along the plane, the surface deformation moves together with the trapped electron inducing a field of hydrodynamic velocities in the liquid, which is accompanied by energy dissipation, and leads to a finite value of the polaron mobility. [1,5,8,9] In order to evaluate the mobility, we employ the energy balance equation $eE_\parallel v_0 = d\rho_E/dt$, where v_0 is the liquid velocity at infinity, and ρ_E is the energy density dissipated. The function $d\rho_E/dt$ is obtained in a straightforward way by finding the normal velocity field induced by the polaron from the solution of the Navier-Stokes equation. [1,8] If the driving field is applied along the x direction, the mobility is given by

$$\mu_x = \frac{e}{2\eta S}\left[\sum_{\vec{k}} k k_x^2 |\xi_{\vec{k}}|^2\right]^{-1}, \tag{11}$$

where η is the helium viscosity, S is the area of the liquid surface, and $\xi_{\vec{k}}$ is the Fourier transform of the dimple profile. The summation in Eq.(11) can be performed analytically resulting in a cumbersome function of complete elliptic integrals depending on l_x and l_y. However, we can simplify significantly the calculation by considering the limiting case $l_x \gg l_y$, which corresponds to holding fields of interest $1-3\times(10^6$ V/m). In this case, the polaron mobility can be written as

$$\mu_x = \frac{\pi^{3/2}\sigma^2 l_x}{\sqrt{2}\eta e E_\perp^2}. \tag{12}$$

If the field is applied along y, the mobility is such that $(\mu_x/\mu_y) = \ln(l_x/l_y)$. In the symmetric case, $l_x = l_y = L_F$, we obtain the well-known result $\mu = \sqrt{8\pi}\sigma^2 L_F/\eta e E_\perp^2$.

Given the recent experimental progress, [4,6,7] we now feel compelled to examine the signatures that should indicate the experimental observation of the polaron in the Q1D electron system on the surface of liquid helium. First, we calculate the polaron binding energy, which we define as the ground-state polaron energy W_0 minus the energy of the free electron in the Q1D channel. At low temperatures, $T \ll \hbar\omega_0$, the electron energy in the lowest subband along the y direction is $\hbar\omega_0/2$. As we have seen previously, the values of the variational localization lengths are $l_x \simeq L_F$ and $l_y \simeq L_0$ for $E_\perp = 1-3\times(10^6$ V/m). In this case, the binding energy is given by

$$E_b \simeq -\frac{(eE_\perp)^2}{4\pi\sigma}\ln\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}k_c L_F}+\frac{\hbar^2}{4mL_F^2}, \tag{13}$$

which is slightly smaller than the binding energy of the 2D symmetric polaron. [8,9] We estimate $E_b \simeq -0.03$ K and $E_b \simeq -0.3$ K for holding electric fields of 10^5 V/m and 3×10^5 V/m, respectively, in the case of a ^{4}He substrate. One can conclude that the energetic conditions for the formation of the polaron in the Q1D electron system on liquid helium surface are near the same as in the case of the 2D symmetric polaron on a flat helium surface and the Q1D polaron should be observed at $T \lesssim |E_b|$.

Other possible way to observe the Q1D polaron is by measuring the spectroscopic transitions between the ground and excited states of the single electron in the dimple. By determining numerically the electron energies in the ground and excited states we obtain that the frequency ω_{10} of the spectroscopic transition between the ground-state and the first excited-state varies from 9.8 $\times 10^8$ Hz, for $E_\perp = 10^5$ V/m, to 8.0×10^9 Hz for $E_\perp = 3 \times 10^5$ V/m. These values were obtained for the Q1D system over ^{4}He. We remark that, in this range of electric fields, the characteristic frequency ω_0 varies from 5.9×10^{10} to 1.0×10^{11} Hz. As a consequence, the frequency ω_{10} is one order of magnitude smaller than the transition frequency between subbands of the y - confinement. This circumstance can be favorable in experimental efforts to observe the polaron state by measuring the frequency of spectroscopic transitions.

Another possibility is measuring directly the mobility as in Ref. [4]. Our results point out that the mobility of the Q1D polaron is 40% smaller than the mobility of 2D polaron for the same holding field. Our value of the Q1D polaron mobility, for $T = 0.1$ K and $E_\perp = 3 \times 10^5$ V/m, is three orders of magnitude smaller than the mobility of 2D electrons over a flat helium surface and of electrons moving freely along a channel, $\sim 10^2$ m^2/Vs, [11], which may allow to distinguish them easily.

While the above estimates show that our proposed mechanism for polaron formation in Q1D systems on helium should be compatible with accessible experiments, other comments are needed to corroborate or refute our predictions. Of particular interest is the possibility to use liquid ^{3}He instead ^{4}He as the substrate for the confined Q1D electron system. [12] Recall that for ^{3}He, whose surface tension coefficient is more than twice smaller than that of ^{4}He, the binding energy $|E_b|$ can be substantially larger. Also the spectroscopic transition frequencies are twice larger for ^{3}He in comparison with ^{4}He. Furthermore, the description of the polaron in terms of a hydrodynamic viscous model may be doubtful for pure ^{4}He for temperatures below 1 K, whereas the hydrodynamic approach of viscous phenomena is well satisfactory in the case of ^{3}He for temperatures down to $\sim$ 0.1 K. Other attractive substrate should be also the low-temperature mixture of ^{3}He and ^{4}He. [13] On the other hand, unfortunately, one cannot devise now a mechanism for using, in the Q1D apparatus, a solid substrate below the liquid helium to provide a larger effective holding field as in the case of the 2D polaron on a liquid helium film [4], and as a consequence greatly enlarging the absolute value of E_b.

In conclusion we predict the possibility for the formation of the asymmetric polaron in the Q1D channel over the liquid helium surface. The localization lengths of the asymmetric polaron, and the ground and excited state energies are determined as functions of the holding electric field. The numerical estimates of the frequency of spectroscopic transitions and the temperature for the polaron formation indicate that the polaron in the Q1D channel can be observed at accessible temperatures. One hope that the asymmetric polaron can be observed at low temperatures, probably at $T < 0.1$ K, and modern experimental methods for achieving low and ultralow temperatures would offer the possibility to observe the polaron in the Q1D channel on the liquid helium surface.

This work was partially sponsored by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil.

[1] V. B. Shikin and Yu. P. Monarkha, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 751 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP **38**, 373 (1973)]; Yu. P. Monarkha and V. B. Shikin, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **8**, 563 (1982) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. **8**, 279, (1982)].

[2] S. Jackson and P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 499 (1981); O. Hipólito, G. A. Farias, and N. Studart, Surf. Sci. **113**, 394 (1982); F. M. Peeters and S. A. Jackson, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 7098 (1985).

[3] E. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1449 (1984); F. F. Mende, Yu. Z. Kovdrya, and V. A. Nikolaenko, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **11**, 646 (1985) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. **11**, 355, (1985)]; X. L. Hu, Y, Carmi, and A. J. Dahm, J. Low Temp. Phys. **89**, 625 (1992).

[4] O. Tress, Yu. P. Monarkha, F. C. Penning, H. Bluyssen, and P. Wyder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2511 (1996); O. Tress, F. C. Penning, G. Mistura, E. Teske, and P. Wyder, Physica B **249-251**, 644 (1998).

[5] For a review, see N. Studart and S. S. Sokolov, in *Two-dimensional electron systems in helium and other substrates,* edited by E. Y. Andrei (Kluwer, Dordrecht 1997).

[6] Yu. Z. Kovdrya, V. N. Nikolaenko, H. Yayama, A. Tomokiyo, O. I. Kirichek, and I. B. Berkutov, J. Low Temp. Phys. **110**, 191 (1998);Yu. Z. Kovdrya, V. A. Nikolaenko, S. P. Gladchenko, and S. S. Sokolov, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **24**, 1113 (1998) [Low Temp. Phys. **24**, (1998)].

[7] R. J. F. van Haren, G. Acres, P. Fozooni, A. Kristensen, M. J. Lea, P. J. Richardson, A. M. C. Valkering, and R. W. van der Heijden, Physica B **249-251**, 656 (1998);

[8] Yu. P. Monarkha, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **1**, 526 (1975) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. **1**, 258 (1975)].

[9] G. E. Marques and N. Studart, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 4133 (1989).

[10] Yu. Z. Kovdrya and Yu. P. Monarkha, Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **12**, 1011 (1986) [Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. **12**, 571 (1986)].

[11] S. S. Sokolov, G.-Q. Hai, and N. Studart, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5977 (1995).

[12] K. Shirarama, S. Itoh, H. Suto, and K. Kono, J. Low Temp. Phys. **101**, 439 (1995); S. S. Sokolov, J. P. Rino, and N. Studart, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 14473 (1997).

[13] See R. B. Hallock, Physics Today, p. 30 (June 1998) and references therein.